

Leaders and directors in an organization are normally older people. Some people think younger leaders would be better. Do you agree or disagree?

Over the last decades, it has been prevalent to see that aged people take up leadership seats. However, some argue that junior leaders would be better to take on this responsibility, I consent to the idea that older ones s should be appointed to this position due to the reasons that I will mention below.

To begin with, it is irrefutable that the older leaders have gained greater experience in comparison with the younger ones. In this respect, they have the ability to take instant action to rectify the problematic situations s and have promoted their interpersonal skills such as decisiveness, problem-solving and risk-taking and so on, which allows them to lead the individuals of an organization rather than /compared to those fresh managers who are semi-skilled.

Another major plus point of considering aged members s as the director is that they are mainly revered from-by all members of the company so that they have a special power within the firm. This means they have substantial impact on others who are working at the office. Although some young leaders possess creative talented-talents and frequently come up with effective strategies and ideas, it can be delicate and challenging for them to obtain respect among employees and an organization's members and they tend to have much less influences s on the staff ~~them~~ in order to manage them each individual.

In conclusion, however/although, the majority of young leaders have creativity and initiative to tackle the problems of the work, I partly agree that the senior staff members are more appropriate-suited/deserving of being/ to be the leaders of the organizations s or a section of the company due to accumulating experience and skills moreover-in addition to being ~~they are-~~ respected from-by the staffs.